arXiv:1403.2846v1 [math.CO] 12 Mar 2014

The Q-generating function for graphs with application

Shu-Yu Cui[a], Gui-Xian Tian[b*]

[a] *Xingzhi College, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321004, P.R. China*

[b] *College of Mathematics, Physics and Information Engineering, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, 321004, P.R. China*

Abstract

For a simple connected graph G, the Q-generating function of the numbers N_k of semi-edge walks of length k in G is defined by $W_Q(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k t^k$. This paper reveals that the Q-generating function $W_Q(t)$ may be expressed in terms of the Q-polynomials of the graph G and its complement $\overline{G}$. Using this result, we study some Q-spectral properties of graphs and compute the Q-polynomials for some graphs obtained by the use of some operation on graphs, such as the complement graph of a regular graph, the join of two graphs, the (edge)corona of two graphs and so forth. As another application of the Q-generating function $W_Q(t)$, we also give a combinatorial interpretation of the Q-coronal of G, which is defined to be the sum of the entries of the matrix $(\lambda I_n - Q(G))^{-1}$. This result may be used to obtain the many alternative calculations of the Q-polynomials of the (edge)corona of two graphs. Further, we also compute the Q-coronals of the join of two graphs and the complete multipartite graphs.

AMS classification: 05C50 05C90

Keywords: Signless Laplacian matrix; Q-polynomial; Q-Spectrum; Q-generating function; Q-Coronal; Semi-edge walk

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we consider only simple connected graphs. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$. Two vertices v_i and v_j of G are called *adjacent*, denoted by $v_i \sim v_j$, if they are connected by an edge.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: gxtian@zjnu.cn or guixiantian@gmail.com (G.-X. Tian)

The *adjacency matrix* $A(G)$ of G is a square matrix of order n, whose entry $a_{i,j}$ is defined as follows: $a_{i,j} = 1$ if $v_i \sim v_j$, 0 otherwise. Let $D(G)$ be the diagonal degree matrix of G. The matrix $Q(G) = D(G) + A(G)$ is called the *signless Laplacian matrix* of G. The *Q-spectrum* of G is defined to

$$S(G) = (q_1(G), q_2(G), \ldots, q_n(G)),$$

where $q_1(G) \geq q_2(G) \geq \cdots \geq q_n(G)$ are the eigenvalues of $Q(G)$. They also are the roots of the *Q-polynomial* $f_Q(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I_n - Q(G))$ of G. Denote Q-polynomial of the complement graph $\overline{G}$ of G by $f_{\overline{Q}}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I_n - Q(\overline{G}))$. For more review about the Q-spectrum and Q-polynomial of G, readers may refer to [1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15] and the references therein.

Let G be a simple connected graph and $A(G)$ be its adjacency matrix. A *walk* (of length k) in G is an alternating sequence $v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, \ldots, v_k, e_k, v_{k+1}$ of vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k+1}$ and edges $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k$ such that for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ the vertices v_i and v_{i+1} are distinct end-vertices of the edge e_i. It is well known[10] that the (i, j)-entry of the matrix $A(G)^k$ equals the number of walks of length k starting at vertex v_i and terminating at vertex v_j. Let N_k denote the total number of all walks of length k in G. $H_G(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k t^k$ is called the *generating function* of the numbers N_k of all walks of length k in G. In [10], the generating function $H_G(t)$ is expressed in terms of the characteristic polynomials of the graph G and its complement $\overline{G}$, and many spectral properties are obtained. For example, the characteristic polynomials of some graphs is computed by employing the generating function $H_G(t)$ in [10].

For a simple connected graph G, let $Q(G)$ be its signless Laplacian matrix. Similarly, a *semi-edge walk* (of length k) [6] in an (undirected) graph G is an alternating sequence $v_1, e_1, v_2, e_2, \ldots, v_k, e_k, v_{k+1}$ of vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k+1}$ and edges $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k$ such that for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ the vertices v_i and v_{i+1} are end-vertices (not necessarily distinct) of the edge e_i. It is proved [6] that the (i, j)-entry of the matrix $Q(G)^k$ equals the number of semi-edge walks of length k starting at vertex v_i and terminating at vertex v_j.

The *Q-generating function* of the numbers N_k of semi-edge walks of length k in G is defined to $W_Q(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k t^k$, where N_k denotes the total number of semi-edge walks of length k in G. The following problem seems interesting:

Study the Q-generating function $W_Q(t)$ for the numbers N_k of semi-edge walks of length k in G and compute the Q-polynomials of some graphs by employing the Q-generating function $W_Q(t)$.

This paper reveals that the Q-generating function $W_Q(t)$ may be expressed in terms of the Q-polynomials of the graph G and its complement $\overline{G}$. Using this result, we obtain some Q-spectral properties of graphs and compute the Q-polynomials for some graphs obtained by the use of some operation on graphs,

such as the complement of a graph, the join of two graphs, the (edge)corona of two graphs and so forth.

As another application of the Q-generating function $W_Q(t)$, we also give a combinatorial interpretation of the Q-coronal of a graph G, which is defined to be the sum of the entries of the matrix $(\lambda I_n - Q(G))^{-1}$. This result may be used to obtain the many alternative calculations of the Q-polynomials of the (edge)corona of two graphs. Further, we also compute the Q-coronals of the join of two graphs and complete multipartite graphs.

2. The Q-generating functions and Q-polynomials of graphs

For a simple connected graph G, the following Proposition 2.1 reveals that the Q-generating function $W_Q(t)$ may be expressed in terms of the Q-polynomials of the graph G and its complement $\overline{G}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a simple connected graph on n vertices. Then*

$$W_Q(t) = \frac{1}{t}\left((-1)^n \frac{f_{\overline{Q}}\left(n-2-\frac{1}{t}\right)}{f_Q\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)} - 1\right).$$

Proof. The proof is totally similar to Theorem 1.11 in [10]. Let B be a non-singular n-by-n square matrix and J be a square matrix all entries of which are equal to 1. Then, for arbitrary number x,

$$\det(B + xJ) = \det B + x\,\mathrm{sum}(\mathrm{adj}B), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathrm{sum}(K)$ denotes the sum of all entries of a matrix K and $\mathrm{adj}K$ denotes its adjoint matrix.

Now, from Theorem 4.1 in [6], one gets $N_k = \mathrm{sum}(Q^k)$. Noting that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q^k t^k = (I - tQ)^{-1} = \frac{\mathrm{adj}(I - tQ)}{\det(I - tQ)} \qquad \left(|t| < \frac{1}{q_1}\right).$$

Thus we obtain

$$W_Q(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{sum}(Q^k) t^k = \frac{\mathrm{sum}(\mathrm{adj}(I - tQ))}{\det(I - tQ)}.$$

With $B = I - tQ$, $x = t$, the formula (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{sum}(\mathrm{adj}(I - tQ)) &= \frac{1}{t}\left(\det(I - tQ + tJ) - \det(I - tQ)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t}\left(\det((1-(n-2)t)I + t\overline{Q}) - \det(I - tQ)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{Q} = (n-2)I + J - Q$ is the signless Laplacian matrix of the complement $\overline{G}$ of G. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}W_Q(t) &= \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{\det((1-(n-2)t)I + t\overline{Q})}{\det(I - tQ)} - 1\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t}\left((-1)^n \frac{\det\left((n-2-\frac{1}{t})I - \overline{Q}\right)}{\det\left(\frac{1}{t}I - Q\right)} - 1\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t}\left((-1)^n \frac{f_{\overline{Q}}\left(n-2-\frac{1}{t}\right)}{f_Q\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)} - 1\right).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be an r-regular graph on n vertices and $\overline{G}$ be its complement graph. Then*

$$f_{\overline{Q}}(\lambda) = (-1)^n \left(1 + \frac{n}{n-2-2r-\lambda}\right) f_Q(n-2-\lambda).$$

Moreover, if the signless Laplacian spectrum of G contains $2r, q_2, \ldots, q_n$, then the signless Laplacian spectrum of $\overline{G}$ contains $2(n-r-1), n-2-q_2, \ldots, n-2-q_n$.

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$W_Q(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n(2r)^k t^k = \frac{n}{1-2rt} \qquad \left(|t| < \frac{1}{2r}\right),$$

whenever G is an r-regular graph on n vertices. From Proposition 2.1, one has

$$\frac{1}{t}\left((-1)^n \frac{f_{\overline{Q}}\left(n-2-\frac{1}{t}\right)}{f_Q\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)} - 1\right) = \frac{n}{1-2rt}. \tag{2}$$

With $\lambda = (n-2) - \frac{1}{t}$, that is, $\frac{1}{t} = (n-2) - \lambda$ in (2), we obtain the required result. Moreover, if the signless Laplacian spectrum of G contains $2r, q_2, \ldots, q_n$, then it is easy to see that the signless Laplacian spectrum of $\overline{G}$ contains $2(n-$

$r-1), n-2-q_2, \ldots, n-2-q_n$. $\square$

Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs with disjoint vertex sets $V(G_1)$ and $V(G_2)$, and edge sets $E(G_1)$ and $E(G_2)$, respectively. The *join* $G_1 \vee G_2$ of G_1 and G_2 is the graph union $G_1 \cup G_2$ together with all the edges joining $V(G_1)$ and $V(G_2)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two simple connected graphs with n_1 and n_2 vertices, respectively. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Q(G_1\vee G_2)} &= (-1)^{n_2} f_{Q_1}(\lambda-n_2) f_{\overline{Q_2}}(n_1+n_2-\lambda-2) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n_1} f_{Q_2}(\lambda-n_1) f_{\overline{Q_1}}(n_1+n_2-\lambda-2) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{n_1+n_2} f_{\overline{Q_1}}(n_1+n_2-\lambda-2) f_{\overline{Q_2}}(n_1+n_2-\lambda-2), \end{aligned}$$

where Q_1, Q_2 are the signless Laplacian matrices of G_1 and G_2, respectively.

Proof. Clearly, $W_{Q(G_1\oplus G_2)}(t) = W_{Q_1}(t) + W_{Q_2}(t)$, where $G_1 \oplus G_2$ denotes the direct sum of G_1 and G_2. Proposition 2.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{t}\left((-1)^{n_1+n_2}\frac{f_{\overline{Q}(G_1\oplus G_2)}\left(n_1+n_2-2-\frac{1}{t}\right)}{f_{Q_1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) f_{Q_2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)} - 1\right) \\ &\qquad = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{t}\left((-1)^{n_i}\frac{f_{\overline{Q_i}}\left(n_i-2-\frac{1}{t}\right)}{f_{Q_i}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)} - 1\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Note that $\overline{G_1 \oplus G_2} = \overline{G_1} \vee \overline{G_2}$. Setting $n_1+n_2-2-\frac{1}{t} = \lambda$ and substituting $\overline{G_1}$, $\overline{G_2}$ for G_1, G_2 in (3), we obtain the required result. $\square$

Corollary 2.4[11]. *Let G_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be a regular graph of degree r_i with n_i vertices. Then*

$$f_{Q(G_1\vee G_2)} = \left(1 - \frac{n_1 n_2}{(\lambda-n_1-2r_2)(\lambda-n_2-2r_1)}\right) f_{Q_1}(\lambda-n_2) f_{Q_2}(\lambda-n_1).$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, omitted. $\square$

Let $Q(G) = (q_{ij})_{n\times n}$ be the signless Laplacian of a simple graph G. Assume that $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ are mutually orthogonal normalized eigenvectors of $Q(G)$ associated to eigenvalues $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$, respectively. Also let $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n)$ and $P = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = (x_{ij})_{n\times n}$. Then $Q(G) = P\Lambda P^T$, which implies that the number N_k of all semi-edge walks of length k in G equals

$$N_k = \sum_{i,j} N_k(i,j) = \sum_{i,j} q_{ij}^{(k)} = \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{il}\right)^2 q_l^k.$$

Thus we arrive at:

Theorem 2.5. *The total number N_k of semi-edge walks of length k in G equals*

$$N_k = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \gamma_l q_l^k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots),$$

where $\gamma_l = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{il} \right)^2$.

It is clear to see that $N_k = n(2r)^k$ whenever G is an r-regular graph with n vertices. In this case, the signless Laplacian spectral radius q_1 of G is equal to

$$q_1 = \sqrt[k]{\frac{N_k}{n}} = 2r.$$

In general case, we have the following Theorem 2.6, which is analogous to an existing result related to the adjacency spectrum (see Theorem 1.12 in [10]).

Theorem 2.6.

$$q_1 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \sqrt[k]{\frac{N_k}{n}} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \sqrt[k]{N_k}.$$

Proof. Firstly, it is easy to see that

$$q_1 \sqrt[k]{\frac{\gamma_1}{n}} \leq \sqrt[k]{\frac{N_k}{n}} = \sqrt[k]{\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n} \gamma_l q_l^k}{n}} \leq q_1 \sqrt[k]{\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n} \gamma_l}{n}}.$$

The Squeeze Theorem implies that

$$q_1 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \sqrt[k]{\frac{N_k}{n}}.$$

Note that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sqrt[k]{n} = 1$, the required result follows. □

The following statement and its proof is analogous to an existing result related to the adjacency spectrum (see Theorem 2.5 in [10]).

Theorem 2.7. *If the Q-spectrum of a graph G contains a signless Laplacian eigenvalue q_0 with multiplicity $s \geq 2$, then the Q-spectrum of its complementary*

graph $\overline{G}$ contains a signless Laplacian eigenvalue $n-2-q_0$ with multiplicity t, where $s-1 \leq t \leq s+1$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, the Q-generating function of the numbers N_k of semi-edge walks of length k in G is

$$\begin{aligned} W_Q(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} \gamma_l q_l^k \right) t^k \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n} \gamma_l \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_l^k t^k \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_l}{1-tq_l} \qquad \left(|t| < \frac{1}{q_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\Phi(u) = (-1)^n \frac{f_{\overline{Q}}(n-2-u)}{f_Q(u)}.$$

From Proposition 2.1, one has

$$\Phi(u) = 1 + \frac{1}{u} W_Q\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_l}{u-q_l} = \frac{\varphi_1(u)}{\varphi_2(u)},$$

where $\varphi_1(u)$, $\varphi_2(u)$ are polynomials in u and the roots of $\varphi_2(u)$ are all simple. Since q_0 is a signless Laplacian eigenvalue of G with multiplicity $s \geq 2$. Then $f_Q(u) = (u-q_0)^s g(u)$, where $g(q_0) \neq 0$. Therefore,

$$\Phi(u) = (-1)^n \frac{f_{\overline{Q}}(n-2-u)}{(u-q_0)^s g(u)} = \frac{\varphi_1(u)}{\varphi_2(u)},$$

which implies that $f_{\overline{Q}}(n-2-u)$ must have a factor $(u-q_0)^t$, $t \geq s-1$ as the roots of $\varphi_2(u)$ are all simple. Thus $f_{\overline{Q}}(u)$ must have a factor $(u-(n-2-q_0))^t$. Hence the Q-spectrum of the complementary graph $\overline{G}$ contains a signless Laplacian eigenvalue $n-2-q_0$ with multiplicity $t \geq s-1$.

Next, we shall prove $t \leq s+1$. Assume that the Q-spectrum of the complementary graph $\overline{G}$ contains a signless Laplacian eigenvalue $n-2-q_0$ with multiplicity $t > s+1$. According to the above statement, $\overline{\overline{G}} = G$ contains a signless Laplacian eigenvalue $n-2-(n-2-q_0) = q_0$ with multiplicity $r \geq t-1 > s+1-1 = s$, contradiction. Hence, $t \leq s+1$.

This completes the proof of Theorem. □

Next, we shall consider another applications of the Q-generating function $W_Q(t)$ of the numbers N_k of semi-edge walks of length k in G. In [3], Cui and

Tian introduced a new invariant, the *Q-coronal* $\Gamma_Q(\lambda)$ of a graph G of order n. It is defined to be the sum of the entries of the matrix $(\lambda I_n - Q)^{-1}$, where I_n and Q are the identity matrix of order n and the signless Laplacian matrix of G, respectively. Using this concept, we computed the Q-polynomials of the corona $G_1 \circ G_2$ and edge corona $G_1 \diamond G_2$ (for definitions and more details about the corona and edge corona, see [2, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14]) as follows.

Theorem 2.8[3]. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs on n_1 and n_2 vertices, respectively. Also let $\Gamma_{Q_2}(\lambda)$ be the Q_2-coronal of G_2 and $G = G_1 \circ G_2$. Then the Q-polynomial of G is*

$$f_Q(\lambda) = (f_{Q_2}(\lambda - 1))^{n_1} f_{Q_1}(\lambda - n_2 - \Gamma_{Q_2}(\lambda - 1)).$$

Theorem 2.9[3]. *Let G_1 be an r_1-regular graph with n_1 vertices, m_1 edges and G_2 be any graph with n_2 vertices, m_2 edges. Also let $\Gamma_{Q_2}(\lambda)$ be the Q_2-coronal of G_2 and $G = G_1 \diamond G_2$. If λ is not a pole of $\Gamma_{Q_2}(\lambda - 2)$, then the Q-polynomial of G is*

$$f_Q(\lambda) = (f_{Q_2}(\lambda - 2))^{m_1} f_{Q_1}\left(\frac{\lambda - r_1 n_2}{1 + \Gamma_{Q_2}(\lambda - 2)}\right)(1 + \Gamma_{Q_2}(\lambda - 2))^{n_1}.$$

It is well known that it is difficult for us to compute the inverse of matrices, especially high order matrices, which results in a difficulty when we need to compute the Q-coronal $\Gamma_Q(\lambda)$ in Theorems 2.8 and 2.9. In [3], we computed the Q-coronal of some special graphs and gave the Q-polynomials of their (edge)coronae.

Next, we shall give a combinatorial interpretation of the Q-coronal of a graph G of order n, which is used to obtain the many alternative calculations of the Q-polynomials of the corona $G_1 \circ G_2$ and edge corona $G_1 \diamond G_2$ for any graphs G_1 and G_2.

Proposition 2.10. *Let G be a simple connected graph of order n. Then it's Q-coronal equals*

$$\Gamma_Q(\lambda) = -1 + (-1)^n \frac{f_{\overline{Q}}(n - 2 - \lambda)}{f_Q(\lambda)}.$$

Proof. Let Q be the signless Laplacian matrix of G and $\mathbf{1}_n$ denote the length-n

column vector, whose each element equals 1. By a simple calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_Q(\lambda) = \mathbf{1}_n^T(\lambda I_n - Q)^{-1}\mathbf{1}_n &= \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{1}_n^T(I_n - \lambda^{-1}Q)^{-1}\mathbf{1}_n \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbf{1}_n^T\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q^k\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^k\right)\mathbf{1}_n \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{1}_n^T Q^k \mathbf{1}_n\right)\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^k. \qquad (4)\end{aligned}$$

Since the sum $\mathbf{1}_n^T Q^k \mathbf{1}_n$ of all elements of Q^k is the total number N_k of all semi-edge walks of length k in G. Then the equality (4) becomes

$$\Gamma_Q(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^k = \frac{1}{\lambda}W_Q\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right).$$

From Proposition 2.1, the required result follows. □

Now applying Proposition 2.10, Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 may be rewritten as the following Theorems 2.11 and 2.12, respectively.

Theorem 2.11. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs on n_1 and n_2 vertices, respectively. Also let $G = G_1 \circ G_2$. Then the Q-polynomial of G is*

$$f_Q(\lambda) = (f_{Q_2}(\lambda - 1))^{n_1} f_{Q_1}\left(\lambda - n_2 + 1 - (-1)^{n_2}\frac{f_{\overline{Q}_2}(n - \lambda - 1)}{f_{Q_2}(\lambda - 1)}\right).$$

Theorem 2.12. *Let G_1 be an r_1-regular graph with n_1 vertices, m_1 edges and G_2 be any graph with n_2 vertices, m_2 edges. Also let $G = G_1 \diamond G_2$. Then the Q-polynomial of G is*

$$f_Q(\lambda) = (f_{Q_2}(\lambda - 2))^{m_1} f_{Q_1}\left((-1)^{n_2}\frac{(\lambda - r_1 n_2)\cdot f_{Q_2}(\lambda)}{f_{\overline{Q}_2}(n_2 - \lambda)}\right)\left((-1)^{n_2}\frac{f_{\overline{Q}_2}(n_2 - \lambda)}{f_{Q_2}(\lambda)}\right)^{n_1}.$$

The following Proposition 2.13 exhibits the Q-coronal of the join $G_1 \vee G_2$ of two regular graphs G_1 and G_2.

Proposition 2.13. *Let G_1 be an r_1-regular graph on n_1 vertices and G_2 be an r_2-regular graph on n_2 vertices. Also let $G = G_1 \vee G_2$. Then*

$$\Gamma_Q(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda - n_2 - 2r_1)n_2 + (\lambda - n_1 - 2r_2)n_1 + 2n_1 n_2}{(\lambda - n_2 - 2r_1)(\lambda - n_1 - 2r_2) - n_1 n_2}.$$

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.4 and Proposition 2.10. Namely, from Theorem 2.2, we have

$$f_{\overline{Q}_i}(\lambda) = (-1)^{n_i}\left(1 + \frac{n_i}{n_i - 2 - 2r_i - \lambda}\right) f_{Q_i}(n_i - 2 - \lambda), \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

It follows from $f_{\overline{Q}}(\lambda) = f_{\overline{Q}_1}(\lambda) f_{\overline{Q}_2}(\lambda)$ that

$$f_{\overline{Q}}(n-2-\lambda) = (-1)^{n_1+n_2}\left(1 + \frac{n_1}{\lambda - n_2 - 2r_1}\right)\left(1 + \frac{n_2}{\lambda - n_1 - 2r_2}\right) f_{Q_1}(\lambda - n_2) f_{Q_2}(\lambda - n_1).$$

By Corollary 2.4, one gets

$$f_Q(\lambda) = \left(1 - \frac{n_1 n_2}{(\lambda - n_1 - 2r_2)(\lambda - n_2 - 2r_1)}\right) f_{Q_1}(\lambda - n_2) f_{Q_2}(\lambda - n_1).$$

Now the result follows easily from Proposition 2.10. □

Next, we shall derive the Q-generating function for some graphs obtained by the use of some operation on graphs, such as the complement of a graph, the direct sum and the join of two graphs and so on.

Theorem 2.14. *For the generating function $W_Q(t)$ for the numbers N_k of semi-edge walks of length k in a graph G, we have*

$$W_{\overline{Q}}(t) = \frac{-W_Q\left(\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}\right)}{(n-2)t - 1 + tW_Q\left(\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}\right)}, \tag{5}$$

$$W_{Q_1 \oplus Q_2}(t) = W_{Q_1}(t) + W_{Q_2}(t), \tag{6}$$

$$W_{Q_1 \vee Q_2}(t) = \frac{M}{1 - tM}, \tag{7}$$

where

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{W_{Q_i}(t)}{(n_i - n)t + 1 + tW_{Q_i}(t)}.$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.1, one has

$$W_{\overline{Q}}(t) = \frac{1}{t}\left((-1)^n \frac{f_Q\left(n - 2 - \frac{1}{t}\right)}{f_{\overline{Q}}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)} - 1\right)$$

and

$$W_Q\left(\frac{1}{n-2-\frac{1}{t}}\right)=\left(n-2-\frac{1}{t}\right)\left((-1)^n\frac{f_{\overline{Q}}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}{f_Q\left(n-2-\frac{1}{t}\right)}-1\right),$$

which implies that the required result (5). The formula (6) is obvious. Next, we shall prove (7). According to (5) and (6), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}W_{Q_1\vee Q_2}(t)&=W_{\overline{\overline{Q_1}\oplus\overline{Q_2}}}(t)\\&=\frac{-W_{\overline{Q_1}\oplus\overline{Q_2}}\left(\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}\right)}{(n-2)t-1+tW_{\overline{Q_1}\oplus\overline{Q_2}}\left(\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}\right)}\\&=-\frac{W_{\overline{Q_1}}\left(\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}\right)+W_{\overline{Q_2}}\left(\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}\right)}{(n-2)t-1+t\left(W_{\overline{Q_1}}\left(\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}\right)+W_{\overline{Q_2}}\left(\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}\right)\right)}.\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

For $i=1,2$, the formula (5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}W_{\overline{Q_i}}\left(\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}\right)&=\frac{-W_{Q_i}(t)}{(n_i-2)\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}-1+\frac{t}{(n-2)t-1}W_{Q_i}(t)}\\&=\frac{-((n-2)t-1)W_{Q_i}(t)}{(n_i-n)t+1+tW_{Q_i}(t)}.\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

Substituting (9) back into (8), we obtain the required result (7). □

Remark 2.15. In view of the formulas (5) and (6), the formulas (7) may generalized to the case $k>2$, that is,

$$W_{Q_1\vee Q_2\vee\cdots\vee Q_k}(t)=\frac{M}{1-tM},\tag{10}$$

where

$$M=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{W_{Q_i}(t)}{(n_i-n)t+1+tW_{Q_i}(t)}.$$

Example 2.16. Consider the complete multipartite graph $G=K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k}$, which can be represented as the join of graphs $G_1,G_2\cdots,G_k$, all of which contain only isolated vertices. For an r-regular graph G, its Q-generating function $W_Q(t)=\frac{n}{1-2rt}$ for the numbers N_k of semi-edge walks of length k in G (see the proof of

Theorem 2.2). Hence the Q_i-generating function for the numbers N_k of semi-edge walks of length k in G_i equals n_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Now applying (10), we obtain

$$W_Q(t) = \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{(n_i - n)t + 1 + tn_i} \right)^{-1} - t \right)^{-1}.$$

According to the proof of Proposition 2.10, the Q-coronal of the complete multipartite graph $G = K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k}$ equals

$$\Gamma_Q(\lambda) = \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{\lambda - n + 2n_i} \right)^{-1} - 1 \right)^{-1}.$$

Finally, Liu and Lu [13] introduced the definitions of the subdivision-vertex neighbourhood corona and subdivision-edge neighbourhood corona for two graphs G_1 and G_2, and their Q-polynomials are determined by using the Q-coronal of G_2. Clearly, Applying the combinatorial interpretation of the Q-coronal of graphs (see Proposition 2.10), we may obtain many alternative calculations of the Q-polynomials of the subdivision-vertex neighbourhood corona and subdivision-edge neighbourhood corona of G_1 and G_2. These contents are omitted.

Acknowledgements This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271334), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, China (No. LY12A01006) and the Scientific Research Fund of Zhejiang Provincial Education Department (No. Y201225862).

References

[1] M. Aouchiche, P. Hansen, A survey of automated conjectures in spectral graph theory, Linear Algebra Appl., 432 (2010) 2293-2322.

[2] S. Barik, S. Pati, B. K. Sarma, The spectrum of the corona of two graphs, SIAM. J. Discrete Math., 24 (2007) 47-56.

[3] S-Y. Cui, G-X. Tian, The spectrum and the signless Laplacian spectrum of coronae, Linear Algebra Appl., 437 (2012) 1692-1703.

[4] S-Y. Cui, G-X. Tian, The signless Laplacian spectrum of the (edge) corona of two graphs, Utilitas Math., 88 (2012) 287-297.

[5] D. Cvetković, New theorems for signless Laplacians eigenvalues, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts, Cl. Sci. Math. Natur., Sci. Math., 137(2008), No. 33, 131-146.

[6] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S.K. Simić, Signless Laplacians of finite graphs, Linear Algebra Appl., 423 (2007) 155-171.

[7] D. Cvetković, S.K. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, I, Publ. Inst. Math.(Beogr.), 85 (99) (2009) 19-33.

[8] D. Cvetković, S.K. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, II, Linear Algebra Appl., 432 (2010) 2257-2272.

[9] D. Cvetković, S.K. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, III, Applicable Analysis and Discrete Math., 4 (2010) 156-166.

[10] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs: Theory and Application, Academic press, New York, 1980.

[11] M.A.A. de Freitas, N.M.M. deAbreu, R.R. Del-Vecchio, S. Jurkiewicz, Infinite families of Q-integral graphs, Linear Algebra Appl., 432 (2010) 2352-2360.

[12] Y. Hou, W-C. Shiu, The spectrum of the edge corona of two graphs, Electron. J. Linear Algebra., 20 (2010) 586-594.

[13] X.-G. Liu, P.-L. Lu, Spectra of subdivision-vertex and subdivision-edge neighbourhood coronae, Linear Algebra Appl., 438 (2013) 3547-3559.

[14] C. McLeman, E. McNicholas, Spectra of coronae, Linear Algebra Appl., 435 (2011) 998-1007.

[15] C.S. Oliveira, L.S. de Lima, N.M.M. de Abreu, P. Hansen, Bounds on the index of the signless Laplacian of a graph, Discrete Appl. Math., 158 (2010) 355-360.